



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



NOTES FROM PACIFIC COAST OBSERVATORIES.

NOTE ON COMET HOLMES.

Search was made for Comet Holmes with the 36-inch telescope on several nights before its rediscovery by photography by Professor WOLF, on August 28, 1906, and also on several nights in September. The conditions were fairly good, and an object as bright as 15th magnitude ought to have been detected, but the comet was not seen.

According to the corrections to ZWIERS'S ephemeris given by the photographic observations, the comet's place was certainly examined, and it is therefore safe to conclude that its visual magnitude was below 15.

Poor seeing on moonless nights in late October, when the comet reached its maximum theoretical brightness, and in the following months, prevented further search.

March, 1907.

R. G. AITKEN.

A SIMPLE METHOD OF COMPUTING THE LENGTHS OF SLENDER UNECLIPSED SOLAR CRESCENTS.

In a note on the contact times of the total solar eclipse of 1898 Professor CAMPBELL called attention to the fact that the times as computed from the data of the different ephemerides were not as consistent as might be wished, but in the case of that eclipse, as well as with earlier ones, there seems to be no evidence of a systematic variation of the observed from the computed times. For the eclipse of May 28, 1900, the preliminary report of the Lick Observatory-Crocker Eclipse Expedition to Georgia shows a difference of some seven or eight seconds between the computed and observed times of second contact. At the eclipse of August 30, 1905, the discrepancy was found to be greater. The Lick Observatory party reported a difference of seventeen seconds for second contact and twenty-three for third, while other observers also found that totality occurred about twenty seconds earlier